



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/988,650	11/20/2001	Moo-Jong Lim	8733.536.00-US (PATENT)	8857		
30827 759	7590 04/10/2006		EXAMINER			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			TRAN, H	TRAN, HENRY N		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2629			
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/200	DATE MAIL CD. 04/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/988,650	LIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Henry N. Tran	2629		

		Tierry N. Trair	2029	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Eutor	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 isions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).		
have unde set fo may	isions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex r 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply oric r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. [	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
AME	NDMENTS	within the time period set forth in	37 Of IC 41.37(a).	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f will not be entered b	ecause
o. <u>Z</u>	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			coausc
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, , ,	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🗌	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🗌	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
6. 🗀	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 13-16 and 18-20. Claim(s) objected to: None.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFF	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•
	☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. [	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
	☐ Other:	( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Henry N. T. Henry N. Tran Primary Examiner	im I
			Art Unit: 2629	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended limitation: "a signal processing circuit ...directly control a luminance level of each of the light sources based upon the input data from the data input driver" recited in the independent claim 1 raises new issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the rejections recited in the final Office action mailed 12/13/05. Applicants' arguments provided in the Remarks section, pages 6-7 of the above identified Reply have been fully considered but they are not persuasive; because they are based upon the newly amended claim limitation noted in item 3(a) above.

HT 4/7/06